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## Comparison of Insurance Policies

## Preface

In the accompanying pages is an analysis that compares costs and benefits of different life insurance policies.

There are three policies included in this analysis, allowing you to easily review how the plans differ in terms of premiums, loan proceeds, cash accumulation, death benefits, and internal rates of return.

A mathematical evaluation is not the only important item to study when purchasing life insurance. Others are:

1. The financial strength of the company;
2. Suitability of the type of plan;
3. Plan provisions and contractual guarantees;
4. Reputation of the agent or broker.

An analysis of these points, along with conclusions drawn from the accompanying reports, should be useful to you as part of the evaluation of your life insurance purchase. Below is a graphic summarizing the results of the accompanying presentation.


Comparison of Policy Values at year 55

 vary and be more or less favorable depending upon a number of factors. Please review all carrier illustrations and disclaimers in conjunction with this presentation.

## Comparison of Insurance Policies

## Risk Profile

In the accompanying pages is a financial analysis that compares costs and benefits of different types of life insurance policies, such as Whole Life, Universal Life, Indexed Universal Life, and Variable Universal Life.

Each life insurance policy type has specific pros and cons. A determination of which policy is the most suitable for you depends on where you fall on the scale below (with Whole Life typically being the most conservative and Variable Universal Life the most aggressive).
Accordingly, before making a final decision on which policy is best for you and your specific needs and circumstances, you need to understand variations
in premiums, policy cash flow, cash values, death benefits, and respective rates of return coupled with your personal risk tolerance.

Your financial adviser can help determine which policy is most suitable for you. The pages in this presentation should be useful to you in making your decision.

Which Life Insurance Policy Type is Best for You?



 vary and be more or less favorable depending upon a number of factors. Please review all carrier illustrations and disclaimers in conjunction with this presentation.

## Comparison of Insurance Policies


*This illustration assumes the nonguaranteed values continue in all years. This is not likely, and actual results may be more or less favorable. This illustration is not valid unless accompanied by a basic illustration from the issuing life insurance company.

## Comparison of Insurance Policies

## Comparison of Values

|  |  |  |  | Indexed U Interest R Carr | iversal Life te: $5.00 \%$ er Z |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Conse | vative |  |
| Yr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ | (1) <br> Premium Payment | (2) <br> After Tax Policy Loan Proceeds | (3) <br> Year End Accum Value* | (4) <br> Year End Cash Value* | (5) <br> Death <br> Benefit |
| 31 | 75 | 0 | 45,000 | $\Gamma 1,448,735$ |  |  |
| 32 | 76 | 0 | 45,000 | -1,530,687 | 778,602 | 855,137 |
| 33 | 77 | 0 | 45,000 | 1,617,135 | 780,196 | 861,053 |
| 34 | 78 | 0 | 45,000 | -1,708,301 | 782,266 | 867,681 |
| 35 | 79 | 0 | 45,000 | -1,804,419 | 784,832 | 875,053 |
| 36 | 80 | 0 | 45,000 | 1,905,708 | 787,892 | 883,177 |
| 37 | 81 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,012,352 | 791,395 | 892,012 |
| 38 | 82 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,124,573 | 795,318 | 901,547 |
| 39 | 83 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,242,587 | 799,620 | 911,749 |
| 40 | 84 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,366,468 | 804,101 | 922,425 |
| 41 | 85 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,496,265 | 808,531 | 933,344 |
| 42 | 86 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,632,159 | 812,788 | 944,396 |
| 43 | 87 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,774,187 | 816,598 | 955,307 |
| 44 | 88 | 0 | 45,000 | 2,922,215 | 819,495 | 965,606 |
| 45 | 89 | 0 | 45,000 | -3,076,106 | 821,001 | 974,806 |
| 46 | 90 | 0 | 45,000 | 3,235,595 | 820,484 | 982,264 |
| 47 | 91 | 0 | 45,000 | 3,404,528 | 821,412 | 957,593 |
| 48 | 92 | 0 | 45,000 | 3,584,573 | 825,051 | 932,589 |
| 49 | 93 | 0 | 45,000 | 3,777,983 | 833,235 | 908,795 |
| 50 | 94 | 0 | 45,000 | 3,987,607 | 848,372 | 888,248 |
| 51 | 95 | 0 | 45,000 | 4,216,802 | 873,355 | 873,355 |
| 52 | 96 | 0 | 45,000 | 4,459,175 | 901,306 | 901,306 |
| 53 | 97 | 0 | 45,000 | 4,715,485 | 932,472 | 932,472 |
| 54 | 98 | 0 | 45,000 | 4,986,533 | 967,119 | 967,119 |
| 55 | 99 | 0 | 45,000 | 5,273,165 | 1,005,531 | 1,005,531 |


| Indexed Universal Life Interest Rate: 6.00\% Carrier Z |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moderately Conservative |  |  |  |
| (6) <br> After Tax Policy Loan Proceeds | (7) <br> Year End Accum Value* | $\begin{gathered} \text { (8) } \\ \text { Year End } \\ \text { Cash } \\ \text { Value** } \end{gathered}$ | (9) <br> Death <br> Benefit |
| 80,000 | 1,866,570 | 673,199 | 766,528 |
| 80,000 | 1,993,639 | 656,601 | \|| 756,283 |
| 80,000 | 2,129,176 | 641,286 | 747,745 |
| 80,000 | 2,273,710 | 627,425 | 741,110 |
| 80,000 | 2,427,802 | 615,203 | 736,593 |
| 80,000 | 2,592,016 | 604,787 | 734,387 |
| 80,000 | 2,766,883 | 596,293 | 734,637 |
| 80,000 | 2,953,008 | 589,887 | 737,538 |
| 80,000 | 3,151,000 | 585,724 | 743,274 |
| 80,000 | 3,361,292 | 583,752 | 751,816 |
| 80,000 | 3,584,295 | 583,878 | 763,093 |
| 80,000 | 3,820,614 | 586,176 | 777,206 |
| 80,000 | 4,070,667 | 590,507 | 794,040 |
| 80,000 | 4,334,627 | 596,459 | 813,190 |
| 80,000 | 4,612,666 | 603,589 | 834,223 |
| 80,000 | 4,904,756 | 611,226 | - 856,464 |
| 80,000 | 5,217,134 | 624,927 | 833,613 |
| 80,000 | 5,552,938 | 647,121 | 813,709 |
| 80,000 | 5,916,345 | 681,237 | 799,564 |
| 80,000 | 6,312,636 | 731,772 | \|| 794,899 |
| 80,000 | 6,748,114 | \| 804,208 | 804,208 |
| 80,000 | 7,213,641 | 888,539 | 888,539 |
| 80,000 | 7,711,289 | - 985,932 | 985,932 |
| 80,000 | 8,243,274 | 1,097,649 | 1,097,649 |
| 80,000 | 8,811,967 | 1,225,061 | 1,225,061 |

Indexed Universal Life Interest Rate: 6.90\%

Carrier Z

| Moderate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| After Tax |  |  |  |
| Policy | Year End | Year End |  |
| Loan | Accum | Cash | Death |
| Proceeds | Value* | Value* | Benefit |


| 100,000 | $2,236,190$ | 744,477 | 856,286 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 100,000 | $2,394,276$ | 722,978 | 842,691 |
| 100,000 | $2,562,587$ | 702,724 | 830,853 |
| 100,000 | $2,741,769$ | 683,912 | 821,001 |
| 100,000 | $2,932,510$ | 666,761 | 813,386 |
| 100,000 | $3,135,502$ | 651,465 | 808,240 |
| 100,000 | $3,351,399$ | 638,160 | 805,730 |
| 100,000 | $3,580,945$ | 627,045 | 806,092 |
| 100,000 | $3,824,896$ | 618,300 | 809,545 |
| 100,000 | $4,083,789$ | 611,864 | 816,053 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 | $4,358,136$ | 607,615 | 825,522 |
| 100,000 | $4,648,685$ | 605,637 | 838,072 |
| 100,000 | $4,955,954$ | 605,754 | 853,552 |
| 100,000 | $5,280,160$ | 607,451 | 871,459 |
| 100,000 | $5,621,514$ | 610,168 | 891,244 |
| 100,000 | $5,979,971$ | 613,058 | 912,057 |
| 100,000 | $6,363,158$ | 622,900 | 877,426 |
| 100,000 | $6,774,977$ | 642,706 | 845,955 |
| 100,000 | $7,220,641$ | 676,756 | 821,169 |
| 100,000 | $7,706,770$ | 730,691 | 807,759 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 100,000 | $8,241,309$ | 811,426 | 811,426 |
| 100,000 | $8,813,332$ | 906,955 | 906,955 |
| 100,000 | $9,425,567$ | $1,018,871$ | $1,018,871$ |
| 100,000 | $10,080,945$ | $1,148,915$ | $1,148,915$ |
| 100,000 | $10,782,619$ | $1,298,987$ | $1,298,987$ |

2,800,000
$3,500,000$
*This illustration assumes the nonguaranteed values continue in all years. This is not likely, and actual results may be more or less favorable. This illustration is not valid unless accompanied by a basic illustration from the issuing life insurance company.
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## 55 Year Summary Analysis of Cumulative After Tax Loan Proceeds
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## 55 Year Summary Analysis of Cash Values
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## 55 Year Summary Analysis of Death Benefits



